<u>HCC1b: NATION AND EMPIRE</u> Pre-writing Grid #6 <u>Counterargument: Moral Judgments and International Relations</u> V. Folkenflik You have been asked to write an essay developing a counterargument in response to a passage that your instructor has chosen from Charles Beitz's *Political Theory and International Relations*. Before you begin, review the *Handbook* chapter on counterargument (122-124). Use this grid to help you analyze the components of the text, so that you can develop your own argument against one or more of these components. You may ask yourself: what would Hobbes, Lowell or Beveridge say in response? What logical fallacies or unspoken assumptions would you target?

KEY WORD/PHRASE	PARAPHRASE/INTERPRET	IDENTIFY COMPONENT	EVALUATE EACH PART OF THE ARGUMENT	HOW WILL YOU CHOOSE TO COUNTERARGUE?
Reproduce key phrase in text	In your own words, what this key phrase means: first step in interpreting!	Reference to someone else's position? Explicit or implicit premise? Yes/but transition? Intermediate step? Conclusion?	Use of empirical facts or logical transitions to support deductive or probable reasoning (logos) ? Appeal to intuition or authority of speaker <i>(Ethos)?</i> Appeal to feelings (<i>Pathos)?</i> Clear definitions, analogies or examples?	Try one of the Six <i>Handbook</i> Counter-argument Strategies?